## **BALLY JUTE COMPANY LIMITED**

CIN: L51909WB1982PLC035245

(An ISO9001:2015, 14001:2004 & 18001:2015 Company) Regd. Office & Mills: 5, Sree Charan Sarani, Bally, Dist. Howrah, Pin-711 201, West Bengal, India Phone: +91-33-2671 2086, 2036, 5049, 5051

Fax: 91-33-2671-8270

E-mail: sanjay.agarwal@kankariagroup.com

			Salar In Control of the Control of t	(Rs. in Lakhs)
l.	SI No.	Particulars	Audited Figures (as reported before adjusting for qualifications)	Adjusted Figures (audited figures after adjusting for qualifications
	1,	Turnover / Total income	41,218.04	41,218.04
	2	Total Expenditure	40,513.87	40,585.47
	3	Net Profit/(Loss)	521.70	450.10
	4	Earnings Per Share	4.04	3.49
	5	Total Assets	26,028.00	26,028.00
	6	Total Liabilities	15,772.28	17,374.87
	7	Net Worth	10,255.72	8,653.13
	8	Any other financial item(s) (as felt appropriate by the management)	~	=
II.	Audit Qualification (each audit qualification separately):			
	b. Type of Audit Qualification : Qualified Opinion			
	c.		stated above is repetative fr	om the Financial year ended on 31 <sup>st</sup>
	d in	Frequency of qualification: A) Audit qualification no. 1) as slarch, 2008, B) Audit qualification no. 2) as stated above has ap	peared 4th time. by the auditor, Managemer by Rs. (71.60 lakhs). 2) The	nt's Views: 1)The impact of non provision
	d in	Frequency of qualification: A) Audit qualification no. 1) as a larch, 2008, B) Audit qualification no. 2) as stated above has ap  For Audit Qualification(s) where the impact is quantified by the accounts is loss for the period under review is understated elisting from CSE as per Board resolution dated 13th March 202	peared 4th time. by the auditor, Managemer by Rs. (71.60 lakhs). 2) The 0.	nt's Views: 1)The impact of non provision
	d in de	Frequency of qualification: A) Audit qualification no. 1) as a larch, 2008, B) Audit qualification no. 2) as stated above has appeared by the accounts is loss for the period under review is understated elisting from CSE as per Board resolution dated 13th March 202	peared 4th time.  by the auditor, Managemer by Rs. (71.60 lakhs). 2) The 0.  ded by the auditor: it qualification: 2 The Mana	nt's Views: 1)The impact of non provision company has taken steps for Voluntary
	d in de	Frequency of qualification: A) Audit qualification no. 1) as a larch, 2008, B) Audit qualification no. 2) as stated above has ap For Audit Qualification(s) where the impact is quantified be the accounts is loss for the period under review is understated elisting from CSE as per Board resolution dated 13th March 202  For Audit Qualification(s) where the impact is not quantification (s) where the impact of audit qualification on the impact of audit qualification (s)	by the auditor, Managemer by Rs. (71.60 lakhs). 2) The 0. led by the auditor: it qualification: 2 The Mana due to the same	nt's Views: 1)The impact of non provision company has taken steps for Voluntary
	d in de	Frequency of qualification: A) Audit qualification no. 1) as a larch, 2008, B) Audit qualification no. 2) as stated above has ap For Audit Qualification(s) where the impact is quantified by the accounts is loss for the period under review is understated elisting from CSE as per Board resolution dated 13th March 202  For Audit Qualification(s) where the impact is not quantification (i) Management's estimation on the impact of audit difference affecting current years financial statements	by the auditor, Managemer by Rs. (71.60 lakhs). 2) The 0. led by the auditor: it qualification: 2 The Mana due to the same	nt's Views: 1)The impact of non provision company has taken steps for Voluntary gement does not expect any material
	d in de	Frequency of qualification: A) Audit qualification no. 1) as a larch, 2008, B) Audit qualification no. 2) as stated above has ap For Audit Qualification(s) where the impact is quantified be the accounts is loss for the period under review is understated elisting from CSE as per Board resolution dated 13th March 202  For Audit Qualification(s) where the impact is not quantification (i) Management's estimation on the impact of audit difference affecting current years financial statements (ii) If management is unable to estimate the impact, (iii) Auditors' Comments on (i) or (ii) above:	by the auditor, Managemer by Rs. (71.60 lakhs). 2) The 0.  The down the auditor:  It qualification: 2 The Manadue to the same  reasons for the same:	nt's Views: 1)The impact of non provision company has taken steps for Voluntary gement does not expect any material
11.	d in de	Frequency of qualification: A) Audit qualification no. 1) as a larch, 2008, B) Audit qualification no. 2) as stated above has ap For Audit Qualification(s) where the impact is quantified be the accounts is loss for the period under review is understated elisting from CSE as per Board resolution dated 13th March 202  For Audit Qualification(s) where the impact is not quantification (i) Management's estimation on the impact of audit difference affecting current years financial statements (ii) If management is unable to estimate the impact, (iii) Auditors' Comments on (i) or (ii) above:	by the auditor, Managemer by Rs. (71.60 lakhs). 2) The 0.  The down the auditor:  It qualification: 2 The Manadue to the same  reasons for the same:	nt's Views: 1)The impact of non provision company has taken steps for Voluntary gement does not expect any material
11.	d in de	Frequency of qualification: A) Audit qualification no. 1) as a larch, 2008, B) Audit qualification no. 2) as stated above has appeared and a power of the accounts is loss for the period under review is understated elisting from CSE as per Board resolution dated 13th March 202  For Audit Qualification(s) where the impact is not quantification (i) Management's estimation on the impact of audit difference affecting current years financial statements  (ii) If management is unable to estimate the impact,  (iii) Auditors' Comments on (i) or (ii) above:	by the auditor, Managemer by Rs. (71.60 lakhs). 2) The 0.  The down the auditor:  It qualification: 2 The Manadue to the same  reasons for the same:	nt's Views: 1)The impact of non provision company has taken steps for Voluntary gement does not expect any material
1.	d in de	Frequency of qualification: A) Audit qualification no. 1) as a larch, 2008, B) Audit qualification no. 2) as stated above has ap a possible for Audit Qualification(s) where the impact is quantified by the accounts is loss for the period under review is understated elisting from CSE as per Board resolution dated 13th March 202  For Audit Qualification(s) where the impact is not quantification (i) Management's estimation on the impact of audit difference affecting current years financial statements  (ii) If management is unable to estimate the impact,  (iii) Auditors' Comments on (i) or (ii) above:  Ories:  • Manager: Shashi Prakash Purohit	by the auditor, Managemer by Rs. (71.60 lakhs). 2) The 0.  The down the auditor:  It qualification: 2 The Manadue to the same  reasons for the same:	nt's Views: 1)The impact of non provision company has taken steps for Voluntary gement does not expect any material
1.	d in de	Frequency of qualification: A) Audit qualification no. 1) as a larch, 2008, B) Audit qualification no. 2) as stated above has ap  For Audit Qualification(s) where the impact is quantified by the accounts is loss for the period under review is understated elisting from CSE as per Board resolution dated 13th March 202  For Audit Qualification(s) where the impact is not quantification (i) Management's estimation on the impact of audit difference affecting current years financial statements  (iii) If management is unable to estimate the impact,  (iiii) Auditors' Comments on (i) or (ii) above:  Ories:  Manager: Shashi Prakash Purohit  CFO: Satyananda Sahoo	by the auditor, Managemer by Rs. (71.60 lakhs). 2) The 0.  The down the auditor:  It qualification: 2 The Manadue to the same  reasons for the same:	nt's Views: 1)The impact of non provision company has taken steps for Voluntary gement does not expect any material